

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/596,245	06/06/2006	Toyoaki Yokohara	09450/0204353-US0	4152	
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 08/20/200 ARBY P.C.	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 770	-	GARCIA, ERNESTO			
Church Street New York, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3679		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/20/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/596,245	YOKOHARA, TOYOAKI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ERNESTO GARCIA	3679	

	ERNESTO GARCIA	3679					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 28 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFA 1,31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1,114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FII	ED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,138(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period red valued red 77 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any pely received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	of the date of appeal. Since a				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b 	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		E below);					
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better 		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reig	ected claims					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1:		ottod ciairris.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	planation of				
Claim(s) objected to: 8.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing an entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3679

/Daniel P. Stodola/

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the change from "comprising" in claim 1, line 2, to —with—and the deletion of "further—in claim 1, line 4, raises new issues and changes the scope of the claim. The deletion of "the concave portions of the first and second adjacent longitudinal direction positions have opened areas which are different from each other" in claim 1, line 9-9, and "said concave portions are respectively provided so as to form first and second lines along the longitudinal direction wherein the first line is closer to the equator and the opened area in the second line are equal to each other and less than the opened areas of the first line" in claim 5, lines 6-10, changes the scope of the claims. The recitations "at least one tier along the along the latitudinal direction" in claim 1, lines 12, with a ball stud" in claim 5, line 2, the housing concave portions ... approximately equal to each other in claim 1, lines 10-20 and claim 5, lines 11-22, requires further search and/or consideration. Further, the new limitation "of the first dimoles and the second dimoles" in claim 2, line 2 requires further search and/or consideration.